

## Appendix VI

### EXTRAORDINARY INFORMATION DISCLOSURE

*(Issued with the Decision No. 21/QĐ-SGDVN on 21/12/2021 of the CEO of Vietnam Exchange on the Information Disclosure Regulation of Vietnam Exchange)*

**TAN TAO INVESTMENT AND  
INDUSTRY CORPORATION**

**THE SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF VIETNAM  
Independence - Freedom - Happiness**

Số: 20 /TB-ITACO 26

HCMC, June 03<sup>rd</sup>, 2026

### EXTRAORDINARY INFORMATION DISCLOSURE

**To: The State Securities Commission  
Hanoi Stock Exchange**

**1. Name of organization:** Tan Tao Investment and Industry Corporation.

- Stock code/ Broker code: ITA
- Headquarters: Lot 2-4-6 Road C, Tan Tao Industrial Park, Tan Tao Ward, Ho Chi Minh City.
- Tel.: 028 37508235 – 028 37508236
- Fax: 028 37508237
- Submitted by: Nguyễn Thanh Phong
- Position: General Director

**2. Contents of disclosure:**

Further to the developments of the lawsuit publicly announced in Notice No. 163/TB-ITACO 23 dated 23/08/2023 (attached). On June 02, 2026, Tan Tao Investment and Industry Corporation (ITACO) officially received the information and the translated document related to the Final Judgment of the United States Federal District Court (Southern District of Texas).

Pursuant to the aforementioned Court Judgment, which took effect on May 19, 2026, the Court ordered Mr. Duong Van Suong to be personally liable to compensate Mme. Maya Dangelas (Đặng Thị Hoàng Yến) for a total amount of \$72.161.602,44 (In words: Seventy-two million one hundred sixty-one thousand six hundred two dollars and forty-four cents). This compensation is under his personal capacity and does not include the damages caused to the ITACO.

Currently, the Corporation is urgently coordinating with competent authorities and legal advisors to carry out the consular legalization procedures for the aforementioned judgment document in accordance with Vietnamese law. Immediately after completing the consular legalization procedures, the Corporation will submit the supplementary documents to your esteemed Authority.

3. This information was published on the company's website on 03/06/2026 (date), as in the link:  
[http://itaexpress.com.vn/tin\\_ita/ch\\_ng\\_khoan\\_ita/cong\\_b\\_thong\\_tin/](http://itaexpress.com.vn/tin_ita/ch_ng_khoan_ita/cong_b_thong_tin/)

We hereby certify that the information provided is true and correct and we bear the full responsibility to the law.



**Attached documents:**

*The Final Judgment of the United States  
Federal District Court (Southern  
District of Texas) (Translated version  
received on June 02, 2026).*

**Organization representative**

Legal representative/ Person authorized to disclose information  
(Signature, full name, position, and seal)



**TỔNG GIÁM ĐỐC**

*Nguyễn Thanh Phong*

**ENTERED**

April 19, 2026

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION****MAYA DANGELAS,**  
***Plaintiff,*****VS.****DUONG VAN SUONG,**  
***Defendant.***§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§**CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:23-cv-1898****FINAL JUDGMENT**

Plaintiff filed her original complaint on May 23, 2023 [Doc. 1], and her first amended complaint on November 8, 2023 [Doc. 9].

On August 9, 2024, the Court entered an order [Doc. 25] instructing the Court's Clerk to make alternative service under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(3) that directed the Clerk to send the summons by mail.

Consistent with the Court's Order [Doc. 25], the Clerk of Court issued the Summons and mailed the Summons, Complaint, and the Court's Order to Defendant on August 12, 2024 [Docket Entry 26]. Under the Court's order, "the delivery of the above mailing of the summons and international service by mail [] constitute[d] service of process being effected upon Defendant DUONG VAN SUONG."

Under Rule 12(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant was required to file an answer or other responsive pleading within 21 days after being served. Service was effected on August 12, 2024; therefore, Defendant's answer was due on or before September 2, 2024.

To date, Defendant has failed to file an answer or other responsive pleading, and the time for doing so has long expired. On September 30, 2025, Plaintiff requested the Clerk of Court enter default against Defendant.

On October 2, 2025, the Clerk entered default against Defendant under Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A default judgment against Defendant Suong is now timely and proper under Rule 55(b).


Rule 55(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for the entry of default judgment when a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend. The Court accepts the well-pleaded factual allegations in Plaintiff's complaint, except those relating to the amount of damages, as true. Plaintiff has further substantiated her damages proximately caused by Defendant's tortious conduct through admissible evidence including Plaintiff's own declaration under the penalty of perjury. Accordingly, Plaintiff has established all elements necessary for the Court to enter a default judgment against Defendant under Rule 55(b). It is therefore;

**ORDERED** that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant and that Plaintiff is awarded from Defendant the following damages against Defendant for which execution may issue if not timely paid:

- a. Economic and compensatory damages in the amount of \$36,080,801.22;
- b. Exemplary damages in the amount of \$36,080,801.22, which is equal to one time the amount of Plaintiff's economic and compensatory damages;
- c. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all recoverable damages at the maximum rate allowable by law; and
- d. All taxable costs of court.

**THIS IS A FINAL ORDER**

Signed this 17<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LEE H. ROSENTHAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE