

Analysts

Thomas Harr, +65 6530 3617
Standard Chartered Bank, Singapore
Senior FX Strategist
Thomas.Harr@sc.com

Tai Hui, +65 6530 3464
Standard Chartered Bank, Singapore
Regional Head of Research, SE Asia
Tai.Hui@sc.com

VND raised to Neutral from Underweight*

08:15 GMT 24 August 2009

- We are raising our short-term FX rating on the VND to *Neutral* from *Underweight*
- Risks of further one-off devaluations have moderated as the economy picks up
- BoP dynamics and widening fiscal deficits remains medium-term concerns
- Most FX models have a *Sell* signal on the VND

* = For the next 3-6 months against key trade currencies:

Leveraged funds: Neutral VND

Real money funds: neutral vs. standard FX benchmarks

Corporates: neutral vs. forwards

Summary

We are raising our short-term FX rating on the Vietnamese dong (VND) to *Neutral* from *Underweight*. This primarily reflects the fact that onshore forwards already price in further depreciations of the VND, which are in line with our forecast. We believe that risks of further sharp one-off devaluations have moderated, as the trade deficit has narrowed and the economy is picking up. However, near-term balance-of-payments dynamics are likely to continue to put upward pressure on USD-VND as weaker inflows from FDI and inward remittances are unlikely to cover the trade deficit. Moreover, we expect Vietnam's fiscal deficit to widen to 10% of GDP in 2009 from 5.2% in 2008 which raise the risk of a foreign-currency credit rating downgrade. Finally, most FX models give a *Sell* rating on the VND. We have adjusted our USD-VND forecasts modestly.

Table 1: SCB revised VND forecasts

| | End Q3-09 | End Q4-09 | End Q1-10 | End Q2-10 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| USD-VND | 17,900 | 18,200 | 18,200 | 18,400 |
| (old forecasts are in brackets) | (18,500) | (18,500) | (18,600) | (18,100) |
| Forward (mid-indication) | 17,985 | 18,390 | 18,740 | 19,310 |
| EUR-VND | 26,850 | 28,210 | 27,300 | 26,864 |
| | (27,750) | (28,675) | (27,900) | (26,426) |
| Forward | 25,412 | 25,697 | 26,459 | 27,270 |
| JPY-VND | 195 | 207 | 192 | 182 |
| | (201) | (210) | (196) | (179) |
| Forward | 189 | 193 | 197 | 203 |
| CNY-VND | 2,606 | 2,653 | 2,657 | 2,698 |
| | (2,693) | (2,697) | (2,715) | (2,654) |
| Forward | 2,629 | 2,685 | 2,735 | 2,818 |

Sources: SCB Global Research, Bloomberg

Important disclosures can be found in the Disclosures Appendix.



FX economics

Growth: picking up

Vietnam's Q2 GDP growth accelerated to 3.9% y/y from 3.1% y/y in Q1. As we have highlighted previously, Q1 growth was driven by relatively strong domestic demand, while external weakness persists (for more details see **OTG, 1 June 2009, 'Vietnam – External weakness, domestic strength'**). In line with this, July exports contracted by 24.00% y/y, versus a 13.87% y/y decline in June. However, exports have begun to rebound on a m/m basis, with positive growth recorded for the past three months. The relative strength of the domestic economy is evident in retail sales, which grew by 19.97% y/y in July, following a 21.44% y/y increase in June. Vietnamese consumers appear to be benefiting from the sharp slowdown in CPI inflation and accommodative monetary policy. This is likely to be a key pillar of Vietnam's growth in coming quarters. We expect Vietnam to report GDP growth of 4.2% for 2009 and 5% for 2010. We see current growth dynamics in Vietnam as a modest positive for the VND, as it should stimulate capital inflows.

Inflation and monetary policy: decelerating

July CPI rose by 0.52% m/m and 3.31% y/y, compared with 0.55% m/m and 3.94% y/y in June. The sharp fall in the y/y figure compared to H1-2008 largely reflects the high base effect from 2008, as m/m CPI averaged 0.47% from April to July. While y/y CPI is likely to pick up towards year-end as the high base effect fades, we expect it to remain modest, within a range of 5-6%. Given the improvement in domestic activity and the still-benign inflationary outlook, we expect the State Bank of Vietnam (SBV) to keep the base rate at 7% in H2-2008 and H1-2010 before starting to raise it in H2-2010. We see low market interest rates – especially low borrowing rates – as a modest negative for the VND, as they raise the incentive for onshore market players to borrow in VND, thereby increasing the supply of the local currency relative to the USD. This has been one important factor behind the endemic shortage of USD in the financial system.

Balance of payments: downside risks

Vietnam's balance of payments (BoP) came under severe pressure in 2008, when the trade deficit widened sharply and capital inflows from FDI and inward remittances from overseas Vietnamese were unable to cover the shortfall. The 2008 BoP dropped to a deficit of USD 162mn from a surplus of USD 10.2bn in 2007. At a glance, 2009 looks better, given that the monthly trade balance deficit narrowed to around USD 1bn in April-June 2009 from USD 3bn in January-April 2008. The government expects the trade deficit to narrow to USD 10bn in 2009 from USD 18bn in 2008. However, given the global recession, it estimates that FDI and inward remittances will drop to USD 8bn and USD 6bn, respectively, from USD 11.5bn and USD 7.2bn in 2008.

In H1-2009, the trade deficit was only USD 2.1bn, while FDI inflows and inward remittances amounted to USD 4bn and USD 2.8bn respectively. On balance, this raises the risk of a modest deterioration in the BoP in H2-2009. Short-term capital inflows and loans from official institutions are unlikely to cover this shortfall. As such, we see developments in Vietnam's BoP as a modest negative for the VND.

Fiscal balance: rising concerns

We expect Vietnam's fiscal deficit to rise to 10% of GDP in 2009 and 8% in 2010 (from 5.2% in 2008) due to the additional fiscal stimulus measures implemented by the authorities, including interest subsidies and an income tax holiday. Moody's and S&P currently have Vietnam foreign-currency credit ratings of Ba3 and BB, respectively, both with a negative outlook; Fitch has a BB- rating with a stable outlook. From an FX perspective, we see the widening fiscal deficit as a negative for the VND, as it raises the risk of a further foreign-currency credit rating downgrade.

**Table 2: SCB economic forecasts for Vietnam**

| % | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|---------------------------|------|-------|------|
| Real GDP growth | 4.2 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| CPI (yearly average %) | 6.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| C/A balance (% of GDP) | -7.0 | -5.0 | -5.0 |
| Fiscal deficit (% of GDP) | -5.2 | -10.0 | -8.0 |

Sources: Bloomberg, SCB Global Research

Table 3: C/A, trade balance, and FDI (USD mn)

| | C/A | C/A transfers | Trade balance | FDI |
|-------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| 2003 | -1,931 | 2,239 | -5,106.5 | 1,450 |
| 2004 | -957 | 3,093 | -5,483.8 | 1,610 |
| 2005 | -560 | 3,380 | -4,314 | 1,954 |
| 2006 | -164 | 4,049 | -5,064.9 | 2,400 |
| 2007 | -6,992 | 6,430 | -14,203.3 | 6,700 |
| 2008 | -9,238 | 7,330 | -18,028.7 | 11,500 |
| 2009F | -6,205* | 5,900*** | -7,000* | **8,000 |

* SCB forecasts, ** Government estimates; ***Government estimates of inward remittances;

Sources: Bloomberg, Reuters, CEIC, SCB Global Research

Exchange rate policy: devaluation risk has decreased

Since March 2008, the SBV has initiated a series of one-off devaluations and it has widened the daily trading band on several occasions. (See Appendix for details). The SBV's moves to widen the daily trading band have effectively functioned as one-off devaluations, as USD-VND traded at the top end of the band ahead of the changes. The rationale for the currency adjustments has been to support growth and contain the trade deficit by helping exporters. The natural side effect has been an increased incentive for onshore market players to hoard USD. In recent months, the government and the SBV have repeatedly stated that they will not devalue the VND again. Given that the trade balance deficit has narrowed somewhat and that the economy is picking up, we believe the risk of further one-off devaluations has decreased. However, policy makers' bias remains to allow for a modest weakening of the VND over the medium term.

FX model valuation

As a reality check, we examine exchange rate valuation from the perspective of traditional FX fair value equilibrium models. On their own, these models provide little short-term prescriptive power. However, they may give a clearer picture of whether a currency should appreciate or depreciate over the medium to long term.

REER Approach: NEUTRAL

The real effective exchange rate (REER) is a function of the price, or inflation, differential and the nominal effective exchange rate (NEER). The relationship between Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) and REER is a close and important one. The core idea is that if PPP is seen to hold over the long term, the REER should remain constant. That said, over the short term, the REER can fluctuate significantly.

Since 1998, the VND has been on a gradual depreciation trend versus the USD, and this accelerated from March 2008 to March 2009. This contrasts with the currencies of some of Vietnam's key trading partners, such as the Chinese yuan (CNY), Singapore dollar (SGD), Malaysian ringgit (MYR), Thai baht (THB), and euro (EUR), which appreciated versus the USD during this period. Vietnam has experienced higher inflation rates than its competitors, eroding some of the nominal currency depreciation. Still, on a REER basis, the VND has depreciated versus its main competitors since 2001. Overall, we believe the REER Approach is giving a *Neutral* signal on the VND.



Monetary Approach: SELL

Linked in with PPP is the Monetary Approach to exchange rates. According to this, a change in money supply growth results in a change in price, which leads to a change in the exchange rate via PPP. Higher money supply growth would be presumed to lead to higher price growth, which should be offset by exchange rate depreciation to maintain PPP.

As of end-2008, Vietnam M2 growth had slowed to 20.32% y/y from 46.11% y/y at end-2007. This compares to y/y M2 growth of 9.0% in the US, 4.8% in the Eurozone, 2.5% in Japan, and 28.46% in China. On balance, Vietnam's money supply growth is higher than that of its trading partners. The Monetary Approach to exchange rates states that higher monetary growth will lead to higher assumed inflation, which will in turn require exchange rate depreciation to offset it. With Vietnam's monetary growth higher than that of most of its key trading partners, this gives a clear *Sell* signal from the monetary perspective.

Mundell-Fleming Approach: SELL

Thanks to the work of Robert Mundell and J. Marcus Fleming, we know that certain combinations of monetary and fiscal policy create specific exchange rate conditions. The Mundell-Fleming model illustrates how combinations of monetary and fiscal policy changes can cause temporary changes in the balance of payments relative to an equilibrium level. The exchange rate therefore becomes the transmission mechanism by which equilibrium is restored to the balance of payments.

The Vietnamese authorities have enacted both monetary and fiscal easing in response to the global crisis. The SBV, for its part, has cut the base rate to 7.00% from 14% in Q4-2008. Meanwhile, we expect the government to mobilise a massive fiscal stimulus programme which will raise the fiscal deficit to 10% of GDP in 2010 from 5.2% in 2009. In an environment of free capital mobility, monetary and fiscal easing has ambiguous implications for local interest rates and thereby for the exchange rate. Obviously, Vietnam does not have free capital mobility. In a scenario of low capital mobility, one has to assume that trade flows will outweigh capital flows. As such, the Mundell-Fleming model of exchange rates gives a *Sell* signal for the VND.

Interest Rate Approach: SELL

Thanks to the work of the economist Irving Fisher, we have the Interest Rate Approach to exchange rates, which focuses on interest rate and inflation differentials. Under the International Fisher Effect, the difference in interest rates should equate to the expected change in the spot exchange rate. Thus, a currency with a higher interest rate should depreciate proportionally relative to another with a lower interest rate. Proponents of 'forward-rate bias theory' rightly question the Interest Rate Approach to exchange rates, suggesting that higher-yielding currencies rarely depreciate to the extent that interest rates suggest – if at all. However, for this purpose, we use the Interest Rate Approach in its pure – if flawed – form for the sake of consistency.

Vietnam's key policy interest rate is currently 7.00%. This compares with 0.-0.25% in the US, 1.00% in the Eurozone, 0.10% in Japan, and 5.31% in China. Vietnam's interest rates are higher than those of its key trading partners. The Interest Rate Approach to exchange rates assumes that a higher interest rate is the result of higher expected inflation. This in turn requires exchange rate depreciation relative to trading partners' currencies. As a result, the Interest Rate Approach gives a *Sell* signal for the VND.

Balance-of-Payments Approach: SELL

Under the Balance-of-Payments Approach, changes in national income affect both the current and capital account, causing a predictable reaction in the exchange rate to restore balance-of-payments equilibrium. A change in national income results in a change in the current account balance, leading to a change in real interest rates and capital flows. As national income rises, import demand increases, causing the current account balance to deteriorate, all else being equal. On the capital account side, a rise in national income must be accompanied by a rise in real interest rates. If the current account dominates, the currency should depreciate, while if the capital account dominates the currency should appreciate.



We expect the Vietnamese economy to have bottomed in Q1 and to pick up speed in H2 and 2010, supported by a stabilisation in global growth and relatively strong domestic demand. This should lead to a widening of the trade deficit in H2 compared to H2-2008 and H1-2009, as imports are expected to pick up, while global demand is likely to remain relatively weak. As such, we expect the trade deficit to widen to USD 7bn for all of 2009 from USD 2.1bn in H1-2009. This may also lead to a deterioration in the current account (C/A) deficit compared to H1. From a balance-of-payments perspective, a deterioration in the current account should lead to higher real yields. If the current account dominates, the VND should weaken. However, if the capital account dominates, the VND should gain. In the context of Vietnam, where capital mobility is relatively low, the C/A balance is likely to dominate. Overall, we think the Balance-of-Payments Approach to exchange rates currently gives a *Sell* signal for the VND.

Table 4: FX model valuation signals

| FX model approach | VND Buy/Sell Signal |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
| REER | Neutral |
| Monetary Approach | Sell |
| Mundell-Fleming | Sell |
| Interest Rate | Sell |
| Balance of Payments | Sell |
| Overall signal | Sell |

Source: SCB Global Research

FX outlook

Short term (3-6 months): NEUTRAL

We are raising our short-term FX rating on the VND to *Neutral* from *Underweight*. The rating change primarily reflects the fact that onshore forwards continue to price in further VND depreciation, whereas we believe the risk of one-off devaluations has diminished somewhat. As such, we expect the VND to outperform the CNY and the USD relative to forwards, and to underperform the EUR and JPY relative to forwards. Below, we list the key factors behind our rating change:

- Exchange rate policy:** Since March 2008, the SBV has initiated a series of one-off devaluations and has widened the daily trading band on several occasions. This has created incentives for onshore market players to hoard USD in anticipation of further devaluations. In recent months, the Vietnamese authorities have repeatedly said that they see no need for further devaluations. Given that the trade deficit has narrowed somewhat and that the economy is picking up, the risk of further one-off devaluations has likely decreased. Moreover, we doubt that the authorities will go back to the crawling USD peg which was in place from 2002 to Q3-2007, as this would create incentives for onshore market players to continue to hoard USD. On balance, we see current exchange rate policy as less of a negative for the VND than it has been for the last 1.5 years.
- Balance of payments:** Since H1-2008, Vietnam's BoP has improved as the trade balance has stabilised. However, we remain cautious on the near-term outlook for the BoP. As the economy picks up, we expect the trade deficit to widen to USD 7bn for all of 2009 from USD 2.1bn in H1. Meanwhile, inflows from FDI, inward remittances, and portfolio flows are unlikely to cover the deterioration in the trade balance. This is in line with the government's estimate that the BoP deficit will widen to USD 1bn in 2009 from USD 162mn in 2008. As such, we see Vietnam's near-term BoP dynamics continuing to create upward pressures on USD-VND.



- **Fiscal balances:** We expect Vietnam's fiscal deficit to widen to 10% of GDP in 2009 and 8.0% in 2010, from 5.2% in 2008. Vietnam has foreign-currency credit ratings of Ba3 from Moody's, BB from S&P, and BB- from Fitch; both Moody's and S&P have a negative outlook. We see the rising fiscal deficit as a negative for the VND, as it raises the risk that Vietnam will face a foreign-currency credit rating downgrade.

Medium term (6+ months): NEUTRAL

We are raising our medium-term FX rating on the VND to *Neutral* from *Overweight*. While we expect the VND to weaken broadly over the medium term, current forward rates are pricing this in. In addition, the VND has depreciated by 12.66% versus the USD since its low on 21 March 2008. As such, we believe that the VND is now more fairly priced than it was in Q1-2008. From a structural perspective, long-term prospects look relatively bright. Political stability, a sizeable population, and the fact that many Asian corporates are still looking at using Vietnam as a manufacturing base offer a promising outlook.

FX strategy

Leveraged funds

Although liquidity has improved somewhat recently, it remains very poor compared to most other Asia ex. Japan (AXJ) markets. The key concern is to unwind short USD-VND positions given the shortage of USD. As such, we see limited potential for leverage market players to position themselves in USD-VND until liquidity has improved substantially.

Real money funds

Asset managers who manage their currency risk actively and separately from their underlying exposure tend to use a more qualitative, or 'fundamental', rather than a quantitative/technical approach. The same concern that applies for leveraged funds regarding illiquidity in USD-VND applies to real money funds. However, we suggest that asset managers investing in Vietnam shift to a *Neutral* position on the VND. On balance, downside risks to the VND have diminished, as the economy is picking up and the trade balance has improved somewhat. As such, we expect the VND to perform broadly in line with forwards.

Currency overlay managers, by contrast, tend to take a more quantitative, or technical, approach. Granted, this is a generalisation, but it does seem that a majority of currency overlay managers adopt a quantitative approach to currency management. All of the key moving averages are still pointing up for USD-VND, suggesting that currency overlay managers are still *Underweight* VND. We suggest that currency overlay managers with positions in Vietnam gradually move to a *Neutral* position, as further VND depreciation is already priced in by the forwards.

Corporates

Transaction risk

Vietnamese exporters have faced a collapse in external demand. Our VND forecasts anticipate VND outperformance versus the USD and CNY over the next three to six months, and underperformance versus the EUR and JPY. This suggests that Vietnamese exporters should raise their hedge ratios modestly against the USD and CNY and lower them versus the EUR and JPY. However, given the endemic shortage of USD in the financial system, corporates should only do this when they are confident that they have enough USD to cover future USD payables.

Translation risk

Over the medium term, we expect the VND to weaken further versus the USD, although the risk of sharp devaluations has diminished somewhat. This is likely to remain the case as long as BoP dynamics remains unfavorable for the VND. Hence, we see no reason for Vietnamese corporates to hedge balance-sheet risks. On the contrary, European or Japanese-based corporates may consider hedging their balance-sheet risks in Vietnam, given the chance of further JPY and EUR outperformance relative to the VND.



Appendix – Vietnam's exchange rate policy since December 2007

- 23 March 2009 – SBV widens the daily USD-VND trading band to +/-5% from +/-3%, with effect from 24 March 2009. Over the next three sessions, USD-VND moves towards the ceiling of the new daily trading band.
- 25 December 2008 – SBV devalues the VND by 3% versus the USD with immediate effect. USD-VND immediately moves towards the ceiling of the daily trading band.
- 7 November 2008 – SBV widens the daily USD-VND trading band to +/-3% from +/-2% with immediate effect. USD-VND immediately moves towards the ceiling of the daily trading band.
- 26 June 2008 – SBV widens the daily USD-VND trading band to +/-2% from +/-1% with effect from 27 June 2008. USD-VND immediately moves towards the ceiling of the new daily trading band.
- 11 June 2008 – SBV devalues the VND by 2% versus the USD with immediate effect. USD-VND immediately moves towards the ceiling of the daily trading band.
- 10 March 2008 – SBV widens the daily USD-VND trading band to +/-1% from +/- 0.75% with immediate effect. Initially, USD-VND moves towards the floor of the new daily trading band.
- 24 December 2007 – SBV widens the daily USD-VND daily trading band to +/-0.75% from +/-0.5%. USD-VND moves towards the floor of the new policy band.

Sources: Reuters, State Bank of Vietnam, SCB Global Research



Disclosures Appendix

Regulatory disclosure

Subject companies: --

Standard Chartered Bank and/or its affiliate(s) has received compensation from this company for the provision of investment banking or financial advisory services within the past year: --.

Global disclaimer

SCB makes no representation or warranty of any kind, express, implied or statutory regarding this document or any information contained or referred to on the document.

If you are receiving this document in any of the countries listed below, please note the following:

United Kingdom: Standard Chartered Bank ("SCB") is authorised and regulated in the United Kingdom by the Financial Services Authority ("FSA"). This communication is not directed at Retail Clients in the European Economic Area as defined by Directive 2004/39/EC. Nothing in this document constitutes a personal recommendation or investment advice as defined by Directive 2004/39/EC.

Australia: The Australian Financial Services Licence for SCB is Licence No: 246833 with the following Australian Registered Business Number (ARBN : 097571778). Australian investors should note that this document was prepared for wholesale investors only (as defined by Australian Corporations legislation).

China: This document is being distributed in China by, and is attributable to, Standard Chartered Bank (China) Limited which is mainly regulated by China Banking Regulatory Commission (CBRC), State Administration of Foreign Exchange (SAFE), and People's Bank of China (PBoC).

Hong Kong: This document is being distributed in Hong Kong by, and is attributable to, Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong) Limited which is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority.

Japan: This document is being distributed to the Specified Investors, as defined by the Financial Instruments and Exchange Law of Japan (FIEL), for information only and not for the purpose of soliciting any Financial Instruments Transactions as defined by the FIEL or any Specified Deposits, etc. as defined by the Banking Law of Japan.

Singapore: This document is being distributed in Singapore by SCB Singapore branch only to accredited investors, expert investors or institutional investors, as defined in the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore. Recipients in Singapore should contact SCB Singapore branch in relation to any matters arising from, or in connection with, this document.

South Africa: SCB is licensed as a Financial Services Provider in terms of Section 8 of the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act 37 of 2002. SCB is a Registered Credit provider in terms of the National Credit Act 34 of 2005 under registration number NCRCP4.

UAE (DIFC): SCB is regulated in the Dubai International Financial Centre by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. This document is intended for use only by Professional Clients and should not be relied upon by or be distributed to Retail Clients.

United States: Except for any documents relating to foreign exchange, FX or global FX, Rates or Commodities, distribution of this document in the United States or to US persons is intended to be solely to major institutional investors as defined in Rule 15a-6(a)(2) under the US Securities Act of 1934. All US persons that receive this document by their acceptance thereof represent and agree that they are a major institutional investor and understand the risks involved in executing transactions in securities. Any US recipient of this document wanting additional information or to effect any transaction in any security or financial instrument mentioned herein, must do so by contacting a registered representative of Standard Chartered Securities (North America) Inc., 1 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10010, US, tel + 1 212 667 1000.

WE DO NOT OFFER OR SELL SECURITIES TO U.S. PERSONS UNLESS EITHER (A) THOSE SECURITIES ARE REGISTERED FOR SALE WITH THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION AND WITH ALL APPROPRIATE U.S. STATE AUTHORITIES; OR (B) THE SECURITIES OR THE SPECIFIC TRANSACTION QUALIFY FOR AN EXEMPTION UNDER THE U.S. FEDERAL AND STATE SECURITIES LAWS NOR DO WE OFFER OR SELL SECURITIES TO U.S. PERSONS UNLESS (i) WE, OUR AFFILIATED COMPANY AND THE APPROPRIATE PERSONNEL ARE PROPERLY REGISTERED OR LICENSED TO CONDUCT BUSINESS; OR (ii) WE, OUR AFFILIATED COMPANY AND THE APPROPRIATE PERSONNEL QUALIFY FOR EXEMPTIONS UNDER APPLICABLE U.S. FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.



The information on this document is provided for information purposes only. It does not constitute any offer, recommendation or solicitation to any person to enter into any transaction or adopt any hedging, trading or investment strategy, nor does it constitute any prediction of likely future movements in rates or prices or any representation that any such future movements will not exceed those shown in any illustration. Users of this document should seek advice regarding the appropriateness of investing in any securities, financial instruments or investment strategies referred to on this document and should understand that statements regarding future prospects may not be realised. Opinions, projections and estimates are subject to change without notice.

The value and income of any of the securities or financial instruments mentioned in this document can fall as well as rise and an investor may get back less than invested. Foreign-currency denominated securities and financial instruments are subject to fluctuation in exchange rates that could have a positive or adverse effect on the value, price or income of such securities and financial instruments.

Past performance is not indicative of comparable future results and no representation or warranty is made regarding future performance.

SCB is not a legal or tax adviser, and is not purporting to provide you with legal or tax advice. If you have any queries as to the legal or tax implications of any investment you should seek independent legal and/or tax advice.

SCB, and/or a connected company, may have a position in any of the instruments or currencies mentioned in this document. SCB has in place policies and procedures and physical information walls between its Research Department and differing public and private business functions to help ensure confidential information, including 'inside' information is not publicly disclosed unless in line with its policies and procedures and the rules of its regulators. You are advised to make your own independent judgment with respect to any matter contained herein.

SCB and/or any member of the SCB group of companies may at any time, to the extent permitted by applicable law and/or regulation, be long or short any securities or financial instruments referred to on the website or have a material interest in any such securities or related investment, or may be the only market maker in relation to such investments, or provide, or have provided advice, investment banking or other services, to issuers of such investments.

SCB accepts no liability and will not be liable for any loss or damage arising directly or indirectly (including special, incidental or consequential loss or damage) from your use of this document, howsoever arising, and including any loss, damage or expense arising from, but not limited to, any defect, error, imperfection, fault, mistake or inaccuracy with this document, its contents or associated services, or due to any unavailability of the document or any part thereof or any contents or associated services.

Copyright: Standard Chartered Bank 2009. Copyright in all materials, text, articles and information contained herein is the property of, and may only be reproduced with permission of an authorised signatory of, Standard Chartered Bank. Copyright in materials created by third parties and the rights under copyright of such parties is hereby acknowledged. Copyright in all other materials not belonging to third parties and copyright in these materials as a compilation vests and shall remain at all times copyright of Standard Chartered Bank and should not be reproduced or used except for business purposes on behalf of Standard Chartered Bank or save with the express prior written consent of an authorised signatory of Standard Chartered Bank. All rights reserved. © Standard Chartered Bank 2009.

Regulation AC Disclosure:

The research analyst or analysts responsible for the content of this research report certify that: (1) the views expressed and attributed to the research analyst or Analysts in the research report accurately reflect their personal opinion(s) about the subject securities and issuers and/or other subject matter as appropriate; and, (2) No part of his or her compensation was, is or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views contained in this research report. On a general basis, the efficacy of recommendations is a factor in the performance appraisals of analysts.

Data available as of 08:15 GMT 24 August 2009. This document is released at 08:15 GMT 24 August 2009.

Document approved by: Thomas Harr, Senior FX Strategist.